IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 619 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

PARESH BABULAL CONTRACTOR (KADIA)

Versus

NAINABEN PARESHKUMAR

Appearance:

MR PJ KANABAR for the Petitioner.

MR MS JAYSWAL for the Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 08/03/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr.Kanabar, learned Advocate for the petitioner, submitted that the parties have settled their dispute inter se and, therefore, this Civil Revision Application has become infructuous. In view of the said statement of the learned Advocate, this CRA is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged. Interim relief stands vacated. No costs.

8th March, 2004 (P.B. Majmudar, J.)

***

(apj)